

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/559,775	02/01/2006	Lambertus Meulenbrugge	126213	5943
25944 75	90 06/20/2006		EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			TESKIN, FRED M	
P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1713	
			DATE MAILED: 06/20/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<i>\underset</i>	
E ET AL.	
dress	
0) DAYS,	:
ommunication.	
e merits is	
·	
FR 1.121(d). FO-152.	
Stage	
• •	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
	10/559,775	MEULENBRUGGE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	Fred M. Teskin	1713	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	_
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirn will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	J. villed with the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	e action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims		•	
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Education of the Education of the drawing (s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing (s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been received u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	-
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 032206.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/559,775

Art Unit: 1713

The preliminary amendment of December 7, 2005, has been entered in full.

Claims 1-9 are currently pending and under examination.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. More specifically, the following grounds for indefiniteness apply to the indicated claims.

- (A) Claims 1 and 3 respectively recite the limitations "the reactor" and "the polymerization reactor". There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claims.
- (B) Claim 3 also recites the limitation "the gas phase", which lacks antecedent basis from claim 1.
- (C) Regarding claims 2 and 4, a broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. See MPEP § 2173.05(c). Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in *Ex parte Wu*, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions

Application/Control Number: 10/559,775

Art Unit: 1713

of *Ex parte Steigewald*, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); *Ex parte Hall*, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and *Ex parte Hasche*, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance, claim 2 recites the broad recitation "within 0.2°C", and the claim also recites "preferably within 0.1°C," which is a narrower statement of the range/limitation.

Similarly, claim 4 recites the broad recitation "within 0.3 units", and the claim also recites "preferably within 0.2 units," which is a narrower statement of the range/limitation.

(D) Claim 7 provides the limitation "the temperature sensing means". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claims.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicants' disclosure.

Mahlo is pertinent to a process for introducing a fast polymerization initiator into a reaction vessel via a controlled stream of an aqueous medium containing small amounts of the initiator (note col. 2, II. 10+).

Van Swieten et al is pertinent to the dosing of organic peroxides, having a half life from 0.05 hour to 1.0 hour, to a polymerization mixture (note col. 3, II. 5+).

Claims 1-9 would be allowable if amended or rewritten to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112 set forth in this Office action.

Claims 1-9 are free of the prior art of record. Examiner has not, as of the date of this Office action, located or identified any prior art documents that can be used to

Art Unit: 1713

render the process defined by said claims anticipated or obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art. In particular, the limitations to the dosing of at least one peroxide, with a half life in between 1 hour and 0.001 hour, under the conditions i) and ii) as specified in claim 1, are seen to distinguish the claimed subject matter over the prior art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner F. M. Teskin whose telephone number is (571) 272-1116. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:00 AM - 4:30 PM, and can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu, can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The appropriate fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

FMTeskin/06-12-06

FRED TESKIN PRIMARY EXAMINER